UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,955 12/13/2005 Maik Rabe		Maik Rabe	10191/4172	1384
26646 KENYON & K	7590 05/06/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV NEW YORK, N	VAY	BERNSTEIN, ALLISON		
NEW TORK, I	N1 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2824	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,955	RABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ALLISON P. BERNSTEIN	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires <u>stricture</u> mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	W 05 055 44 05	eu 1 141 1 4 4 4 1				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 204)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicants reply has evered the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11,13,14 and 18-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2/9/2010</u>	<u>)</u>				
05/05/2010	/ANH PHUNG/					
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2824				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the figures of Sakakima provide more layers than claimed. This is not found persuasive because the claim recites the term "comprising" which is open-ended and therefore does not preclude additional elements or layers from being present. Applicant argues that the claim "provides a layer arrangement consisting of two magnetic layer separated by one non-magnetic layer". This is clearly incorrect as can be seen from claims 11, 13, and 22.